**Dieses Dokument ist eine Zweitveröffentlichung (Verlagsversion) /
This is a self-archiving document (published version):**

K. Trikojat, A. Buske-Kirschbaum, J. Schmitt, F. Plessow

Altered performance in attention tasks in patients with seasonal allergic rhinitis: seasonal dependency and association with disease characteristics

Erstveröffentlichung in / First published in:

Psychological Medicine. 2015, 45(6), S. 1289 – 1299 [Zugriff am: 13.03.2020]. Cambridge University Press. ISSN 1469-8978.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291714002384>

Diese Version ist verfügbar / This version is available on:

<https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:de:bsz:14-qucosa2-389915>

„Dieser Beitrag ist mit Zustimmung des Rechteinhabers aufgrund einer (DFGgeförderten) Allianz- bzw. Nationallizenz frei zugänglich.“

This publication is openly accessible with the permission of the copyright owner. The permission is granted within a nationwide license, supported by the German Research Foundation (abbr. in German DFG).
www.nationallizenzen.de/

Altered performance in attention tasks in patients with seasonal allergic rhinitis: seasonal dependency and association with disease characteristics

K. Trikojat^{1*}, A. Buske-Kirschbaum¹, J. Schmitt² and F. Plessow^{1,3}

¹Department of Psychology, Technische Universität Dresden, Germany

²Centre for Evidence-Based Healthcare, University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus Dresden, Germany

³Berenson-Allen Center for Noninvasive Brain Stimulation, Department of Neurology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

Background. Seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR) is a chronic disease affecting about 23% of the European population with increasing prevalence rates. Beside classical symptoms (i.e. sneezing, nasal congestion), patients frequently complain about subjective impairments in cognitive functioning during periods of acute allergic inflammation. However, objective evidence for such deficits or the role of potential modulators and underlying mechanisms is limited. The present study aimed to investigate the effect of SAR on attention-related cognitive processes. In addition, relationships between attention performance, sleep and mood disturbances as well as specific disease characteristics as potential modulators of this link were explored.

Method. SAR patients ($n=41$) and non-allergic healthy controls ($n=42$) completed a set of attention tasks during a symptomatic allergy period and during a non-symptomatic period. Influences of sleep, mood, total immunoglobulin E (IgE) levels and individual allergy characteristics on cognitive performance were evaluated.

Results. Compared to healthy controls, SAR patients had a slower processing speed during both symptomatic and non-symptomatic allergy periods. Additionally, they showed a more flexible adjustment in attention control, which may serve as a compensatory strategy. Reduction in processing speed was positively associated with total IgE levels whereas flexible adjustment of attention was linked with anxious mood. No association was found between SAR-related attention deficits and allergy characteristics or sleep.

Conclusions. SAR represents a state that is crucially linked to impairments in information processing and changes in attentional control adjustments. These cognitive alterations are more likely to be influenced by mood and basal inflammatory processes than sleep impairments or subjective symptom severity.

Received 15 April 2014; Revised 28 August 2014; Accepted 3 September 2014; First published online 2 October 2014

Key words: Attention, cognitive control, immunoglobulin E, processing speed, seasonal allergic rhinitis.

Introduction

Seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR) is a highly prevalent chronic disease affecting about 23% of the adult European population with increasing, almost 'endemic', prevalence rates (Bauchau & Durham, 2004; Bousquet *et al.* 2008a). SAR is clinically defined as an immunoglobulin E (IgE)-mediated inflammation of the nasal mucosa in reaction to allergens and is characterized by symptoms including itching, sneezing, rhinorrhea and nasal obstruction. Beside these classical symptoms, a substantial number of patients complain about accompanying problems such as reduced

physical and mental capacity and subdued mood (Kremer *et al.* 2002; Marshall *et al.* 2002; Meltzer *et al.* 2009; Virchow *et al.* 2011). After being neglected for a long time, these secondary psychological side-effects of allergic disorders have recently been shifting into the focus of both public awareness and research.

In initial studies using a naturalistic design, SAR patients reported significantly more subjective feelings of insufficiency in thinking and acting (Kremer *et al.* 2002) and problems in paying attention or slowed thinking during the allergy season (Marshall *et al.* 2000). These statements are conceivably related to impairments in underlying cognitive functions associated with attention and adaptive control. These functions include the allocation of processing resources, their maintenance and adjustments to optimize performance, particularly when faced with conflicts and rapidly changing environments. However, objective

* Address for correspondence: K. Trikojat, Dipl.-Psych., Department of Psychology, Technische Universität Dresden, Zellescher Weg 19, D-01069 Dresden, Germany.
(Email: katharina.trikojat@tu-dresden.de)

evidence for deficits in these functions has so far been difficult to obtain under natural conditions, and the few available findings are inconsistent (Marshall & Colon, 1993; Marshall *et al.* 2000; Kremer *et al.* 2002). In a laboratory setting, two studies revealed decrements in corresponding cognitive functions (i.e. sustained attention, working memory, divided attention) using allergen challenges to experimentally evoke symptoms (Wilken *et al.* 2002; Hartgerink-Lutgens *et al.* 2009). In the study by Hartgerink-Lutgens *et al.* (2009), SAR patients even showed a reduction in processing speed irrespective of allergen challenge, indicating that at least some cognitive changes might be of a lasting nature. This study also demonstrated that patients reported comparatively more subjective mental effort in short or easy tasks, suggesting that patients used increased compensatory and control strategies to overcome allergy-induced deficits. These laboratory studies provide valuable results on the impact of allergic stimulation on cognition and are unrivaled in their quality of experimental manipulation and control. However, they encounter limits in assessing the complexity of the real-life burden of SAR. Laboratory findings are further limited to acute allergic reactions, and do not capture the potential effects of chronic allergen exposure and the time course of potential impairments resulting from acute periods. To address these questions, naturalistic studies aligned to a high laboratory standard are needed.

Beside these methodological concerns, it is important to note that relevant mediating factors (e.g. allergy-related sample characteristics, sleep and mood variables) have been neglected in the majority of studies. Allergy-related sample characteristics include individually distinctive aspects of allergic disease, such as symptom severity, biological markers of allergic sensitization (i.e. total IgE level), the duration of allergic symptomatology per year, and the time since initial disease manifestation. It is likely that symptom severity could affect cognitive test performance directly due to watery eyes, sneezing or a runny nose, or indirectly through poor sleep due to nasal congestion (Virchow *et al.* 2011; Thompson *et al.* 2013). Allergy-relevant immunological processes could also be involved. IgE, the initial antibody provoking the cascade of allergic reactions through histamine release, has been associated with depressive mood in atopic and bipolar disorders (Timonen *et al.* 2003; Manalai *et al.* 2012). Long duration of disease and symptomatology could exhaust a patient's resources and result in changes of behavioral adaptation. SAR-induced sleep disturbances and worsening of mood in subjects with SAR during the pollen season have been repeatedly confirmed (Marshall & Colon, 1993; Marshall *et al.* 2002; Muliol *et al.* 2008; Koinis-Mitchell *et al.*

2012); both significantly contribute to performance in memory, cognitive control and attention (Bower, 1981; Belenky *et al.* 2003; van Steenberghe *et al.* 2010; Benitez & Gunstad, 2012).

Based on previous research, the current study aimed to investigate the influence of SAR on performance under naturalistic conditions during a symptomatic and a non-symptomatic allergy period. Earlier findings in laboratory settings revealed significant effects of allergy on attentional functions (e.g. sustained attention, selective attention and processing speed) and those effects largely correspond with the self-reported difficulties of SAR patients. Accordingly, we focused on the assessment of functions related to attention with the aim of replicating and extending previous knowledge of SAR-induced impairments in sustained attention, selective attention and processing speed. In addition, to determine whether the regulation of effort to situational demands changes in SAR patients, dynamic aspects of attentional control (i.e. the ability to flexibly adjust attention and action to varying situational demands) were assessed.

In addition to potential alterations in attentional processes, we aimed to identify whether changes observed in SAR subjects are transient (e.g. limited to the manifestation of allergic symptoms) or stable (e.g. also detectable in non-symptomatic periods). We also focused on the influence of mediating mood, sleep and allergy factors. We predicted that with increasing severity of symptoms (along with mood and sleep worsening), potential impairments in attention and attention-related cognitive processes would be amplified.

Method

Study design

Forty-one patients suffering from SAR and 42 healthy, non-allergic participants were assessed in a longitudinal study performed between February and December 2012. Subjects were assessed during a symptomatic allergy period ('on-season') and also during a non-symptomatic period ('off-season'). During the pollen season (March to October 2012), on-season assessment took place after patients experienced at least 2 weeks of allergic complaints (nasal congestion, rhinorrhea, sneezing, red or watery eyes; assessed using a symptom diary). All patients were off allergy medication (i.e. systemic or topical antihistamines, corticosteroids or mast cell stabilizers) at least 7 days prior to testing. To prevent possible sequence effects, the first testing condition (on-season *versus* off-season) was balanced across subjects, and a minimum time interval of 3 months between the two assessments was defined.

The non-allergic control group was added to monitor potential effects other than allergy on the outcome variables and was treated in the same way as the SAR group. Assignment to testing condition in the control group was semi-randomized with test sessions in January, February, November and December being automatically classified as off-season to uncover potential allergy-independent seasonal variations in the assessed parameters (e.g. due to shorter days during winter). All SAR patients were clinically diagnosed by an allergist and had a positive skin prick test for at least one grass or tree pollen and/or an elevated total IgE level (>100 IU/ml serum), validating the diagnosis of SAR. SAR patients with current or recent immunotherapy or other perennial allergies were excluded from the study. Control subjects had no history of any atopic disorder and a negative skin prick test and/or low total IgE status (<100 IU/ml serum). As factors other than allergic hypersensitivity (e.g. parasites) could also account for increased IgE levels, if a subject showed increased IgE levels or a positive skin prick test for a single allergen but had never experienced any allergic symptoms, they remained in the control group. General inclusion criteria were: age between 18 and 45 years, no diagnosed psychiatric or central nervous system disease, no use of interfering medication, and no visual or auditory impairment that could disturb examination. The study was approved by the local ethics committee and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Assessment of allergy-related factors and symptom severity

Symptom severity was assessed with visual analog scales (VAS-SAR, according to Bousquet *et al.* 2008b; see also Buske-Kirschbaum *et al.* 2010). For 10 items, patients rated the severity of nasal, eye and behavioral symptoms ranging from zero (not at all) to 100 (very strong). The sum score of all items was used as an overall indicator of symptom severity (Buske-Kirschbaum *et al.* 2010). Additional disease-related factors such as duration of disease, age at disease manifestation and number of days with allergic symptoms prior to day of testing were evaluated by patients' self-report. The standardized form of the Rhinoconjunctivitis Quality of Life Questionnaire (RQLQ-S, Juniper *et al.* 1999) was used to measure symptom-related disturbances in SAR sufferers in daily life. The RQLQ-S consists of 28 items on seven domains (nasal symptoms, ocular symptoms, general symptoms, sleeping disorders, practical problems, limitations of activity and emotional disorders). Scores ranged from 0 (not impaired at all) to 6 (severely impaired). The overall RQLQ score was calculated from the mean values of the 28 items. The Multidimensional Mood Questionnaire

(Mehrdimensionaler Befindlichkeitsfragebogen, MDBF; Steyer *et al.* 1997) is a 24-item self-report measure that assesses current mental state on three dimensions: valence, alertness and calmness. The State Anxiety version of the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI-S; Laux *et al.* 1981) was applied to further focus on anxious mood aspects. Using 20 items, subjects rated their emotional state on a four-point Likert scale. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI; Buysse *et al.* 1989) was used to examine the effects of allergy on sleep parameters. In the PSQI, 19 items assess individual sleep quality, sleep latency, sleep duration, habitual sleep efficiency, sleep disturbances, use of sleep medication, and daytime dysfunction during the past 2 weeks.

Total IgE

A blood sample was obtained from each subject during on-season and off-season testing. Total serum IgE concentrations were determined using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA; IBL, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Assessment of cognitive performance

Cognitive performance was assessed with the Continuous Performance Task (CPT; Knye *et al.* 1996) and the Simon task (Simon, 1990). The CPT is a computer-based standard task assessing sustained selective attention, psychomotor speed and impulsivity. Single letters were presented sequentially each second on a black screen. Subjects were instructed to immediately respond to the sequence of 'O' directly followed by 'X' while ignoring all other sequences. The overall duration of the task including a training phase was 17 min. Contrasting the performance in the first and second halves of the task provided information about the ability to sustain attention over a longer period [reaction time (RT) and error indices], while mean RT served as a measure of overall psychomotor speed. Omission errors (i.e. missing a 'O-followed-by-X' target sequence) and commission errors (i.e. responses to irrelevant non-target sequences) were analyzed as markers of selective attention and impulsivity respectively.

The Simon task represents a standard measure of more demanding attentional processes. By initiating a response conflict, it assesses the distractibility from task-irrelevant stimuli and flexibility in attentional control adjustments. We used a numerical version of the Simon task (Fischer *et al.* 2008; Plessow *et al.* 2011): target stimuli (digits 1–9 excluding 5) were presented either to the left or right of the screen center on a 17-inch monitor attached to an IBM-compatible personal computer. Subjects were instructed to respond to the identity of the presented target by manual key

presses ('press left key for digits smaller than five and press right key for digits larger than five') irrespective of its location on the screen (i.e. right *versus* left side). Although the stimulus location has no task relevance, it automatically triggers the activation of the spatially corresponding response (e.g. a target presented on the left automatically activates a left response). Depending on the correspondence of stimulus location and required response key (based on stimulus identity), non-conflicting compatible (C; e.g. target '1' presented on the left screen side requires a left key press) and incompatible trials (I; i.e. target '1' displayed on the right screen side requires a left key press) are derived. In incompatible trials, this automatic activation needs to be overcome, resulting in additional performance costs (i.e. slower responses and/or increased error rates). This compatibility effect reflects the individual susceptibility to interference. Additionally, the sequential analysis of trials reveals that conflicting trials increase the attentional control for subsequent trials, resulting in a decreased compatibility effect in trials following an incompatible conflict trial compared to non-conflicting compatible trials (conflict adaptation effect; Gratton *et al.* 1992; Stürmer *et al.* 2002). Each trial started with a centrally displayed fixation cross. After 1000 ms, targets were shown either 2.8 cm to the left or to the right of the fixation sign for 200 ms. Once a response was given (or following a response window of 1800 ms maximum after target onset), the fixation cross disappeared. Performance feedback was given for incorrect responses or misses ('false', 'too slow') for 300 ms. After a correct response a blank screen was shown for 300 ms. All trials finished with a blank screen for a random interval between 100 ms and 1000 ms. All subjects performed a practice block consisting of 16 trials and three test blocks with 64 trials each (total number of test trials: 192). Presentation software version 0.71 (Neurobehavioral Systems, Inc., USA) was used for stimulus presentation and data recording.

Data analysis

To evaluate changes in mood and cognitive performance across the two testing sessions, a series of two-way ANOVAs with the between-subject factor group (SAR patients *versus* healthy controls) and the within-subject factor season (on-season *versus* off-season) were conducted for CPT RT, RT and error indices, omission and commission error rates and also for PSQI, MDBF, STAI-S and total IgE levels. For significant group $\times$ season interactions on a $p < 0.05$ level, *post-hoc* analyses using independent *t* tests were applied to further compare group performance within a

particular season and paired *t* tests to evaluate performance differences within one group across testing sessions. In the case of multiple comparisons due to interaction effects, *p* values were Bonferroni corrected. Because of lack of normal distribution, rank-transformed total IgE levels were used for further calculations.

For the Simon task, four-way repeated-measures ANOVAs with compatibility of the current trial (*n*; compatible *versus* incompatible), compatibility of the previous trial (*n* – 1; compatible *versus* incompatible) and season (on-season *versus* off-season) as within-subject factors and group (SAR patients *versus* healthy controls) as the between-subject factor were conducted for mean RTs and percentage of error rates. Furthermore, indices of the compatibility effect ($I - C$) and conflict adaptation [$(cI - cC) - (iI - iC)$; with lowercase letters representing compatibility (*c*) or incompatibility (*i*) in the previous trial] were calculated (see van Steenbergen *et al.* 2010). The first trial of each block (2.4%), trials with identical target repetitions (7.23%), and post-error trials (3.5%) were excluded from all Simon task analyses. For RT analysis only, errors and RTs differing by more than 2.5 s.d. from each individual's condition-specific mean (6.1%) were also excluded. All statistical tests were performed at a 0.05 level of significance. To explore associations between cognitive performance and disease-related factors, Spearman correlations between the cognitive outcome measures and allergy characteristics, total IgE level during on-season, mood and sleep variables were conducted and linear regressions for significant correlations were applied.

Results

Demographic characteristics, self-reported measures and IgE levels

Demographic and allergy characteristics are displayed in Table 1. Groups did not differ regarding age, gender and body mass index (BMI). Groups also did not differ in the highest level of education. However, during on-season testing, SAR patients differed significantly from healthy controls in parameters of sleep and alertness and in total IgE levels. Compared to healthy controls, SAR patients experienced poorer sleep quality and increased daytime sleepiness and fatigue. Furthermore, significantly increased total IgE levels were found in SAR subjects during the allergy season. As expected, SAR patients showed significant worsening in symptom severity and quality of life in the on-season testing when compared to the off-season testing. An increase in state anxiety was also observed in patients during on-season testing.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics and self-reported measures

Demographics	SAR patients (<i>n</i> = 41)		Healthy controls (<i>n</i> = 42)		Statistics: <i>p</i> value			
Age (years), mean (s.d.)	24.1 (3.3)		24.4 (3.1)		0.662			
Male, <i>n</i> (%)	21 (51)		20 (48)		0.876			
BMI, mean (s.d.)	23.0 (2.5)		22.6 (2.0)		0.473			
Level of education, mean (s.d.)	3.3 (0.6)		3.1 (0.4)		0.159			
Days with allergy symptoms, mean (s.d.)	16.5 (11.5)							
Number of allergies, mean (s.d.) range	5.4 (2.0) 1–8							
SAR duration (years), mean (s.d.) range	12.5 (5.8) 3–27							
Age allergy manifestation (years), mean (s.d.)	11.6 (5.7)							
Self-reported measures	SAR patients (<i>n</i> = 41)		Healthy controls (<i>n</i> = 42)		Statistics: <i>p</i> value			
	On-season	Off-season	On-season	Off-season	Season effect	Group effect	Group × season	<i>t</i> test on-season
Allergy characteristics								
Symptom severity	369 (170)	44 (27)			<0.001			
RQLQ	68.0 (24.9)	3.0 (3.9)			<0.001			
Rank of total IgE levels	50.9 (19.1)	49.9 (19.7)	30.2 (22.2)	28.9 (22.7)	N.S.	<0.001	N.S.	<0.001
Mood								
MDBF valence	17.9 (1.7)	18.3 (1.8)	17.9 (2.0)	17.9 (1.8)	0.024	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
MDBF calmness	16.4 (2.1)	17.1 (2.4)	16.5 (2.7)	16.4 (2.4)	0.027	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
MDBF alertness	14.7 (3.3)	15.8 (3.8)	16.0 (2.8)	15.5 (3.0)	N.S.	N.S.	0.033	0.038
STAI-S	32.1 (5.6)	29.8 (5.8)	32.0 (7.1)	31.2 (6.0)	0.010	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Sleep								
PSQI sum score	5.9 (2.8)	4.6 (2.4)	4.4 (2.7)	4.6 (2.9)	N.S.	N.S.	0.044	0.019
PSQI sleep quality	1.2 (0.7)	0.9 (0.5)	0.7 (0.6)	0.8 (0.6)	N.S.	0.004	0.017	<0.001
PSQI sleep latency	1.7 (1.5)	1.6 (2.1)	1.6 (1.7)	1.7 (1.6)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
PSQI sleep disturbances	1.1 (0.4)	0.9 (0.3)	0.9 (0.3)	0.9 (0.4)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
PSQI sleep duration	0.2 (0.5)	0.2 (0.4)	0.1 (0.4)	0.2 (0.6)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
PSQI sleep efficacy	0.4 (0.6)	0.3 (0.5)	0.2 (0.5)	0.3 (0.6)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
PSQI sleep medication	0.1 (0.4)	0.0 (0.2)	0.1 (0.3)	0.0 (0.2)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
PSQI day sleepiness	1.2 (0.8)	0.7 (0.7)	0.8 (0.7)	0.6 (0.6)	<0.001	0.036	N.S.	0.018

SAR, Seasonal allergic rhinitis; BMI, body mass index; RQLQ, Rhinoconjunctivitis Quality of Life Questionnaire; IgE, immunoglobulin E; MDBF, Multidimensional Mood Questionnaire; STAI-S, State Anxiety version of the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory; PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; N.S., non-significant.

Values are given as mean (s.d.) unless stated otherwise;

Table 2. Performance on the Continuous Performance Task (CPT)

	SAR patients (<i>n</i> = 41)		Healthy controls (<i>n</i> = 42)	
	On-season	Off-season	On-season	Off-season
RT (ms)	467 (14)	454 (14)	407 (14)	419 (14)
Omission error	0.5 (0.1)	0.7 (0.1)	0.6 (0.1)	0.5 (0.1)
Commission error	1.4 (0.2)	1.1 (0.2)	1.1 (0.2)	1.2 (0.2)
RT index	5.7 (1.8)	7.0 (2.0)	4.0 (1.7)	4.0 (2.0)
Omission error index	0.3 (0.1)	0.1 (0.1)	0.0 (0.1)	0.1 (0.1)
Commission error index	0.2 (0.2)	−0.2 (0.2)	−0.4 (0.2)	−0.1 (0.2)

SAR, Seasonal allergic rhinitis; RT, reaction time.

Values are given as mean (standard error).

Cognitive performance

CPT

Values for mean RTs, error rates and indices are listed in Table 2. There was a significant main effect in mean RT between groups ($F_{1,81}=7.78$, $p=0.007$, $\eta^2=0.09$), with SAR patients showing reduced processing speed during on-season ($p=0.005$) and also during off-season ($p=0.04$, one-tailed) compared to healthy controls (see Fig. 1a). No season or interaction effect could be found for mean RT ($p>0.22$). Additionally, no effects of group, season or interaction for omission and commission errors and for RT and error indices (all $p>0.149$) were observed.

Simon task

Values for mean RTs and percentage of error rates are listed in Table 3. Analysis of mean RTs showed a significant main effect for compatibility_n ($F_{1,81}=71.30$, $p<0.001$, $\eta^2=0.47$) and an interaction effect for conflict adaptation, compatibility_n × compatibility_{n−1} ($F_{1,81}=434.00$, $p<0.001$, $\eta^2=0.84$). No interaction effects of compatibility_n or conflict adaptation with group and/or season were found ($p>0.110$). However, there was a significant interaction between group and season on mean RT ($F_{1,81}=6.42$, $p=0.013$, $\eta^2=0.07$). Irrespective of task condition, SAR patients responded substantially slower than healthy controls at on-season ($p=0.001$) and also to a lesser extent at off-season testing ($p=0.045$, one-tailed; Fig. 1a). The significance of the Simon effect ($F_{1,81}=29.27$, $p<0.001$, $\eta^2=0.27$) and conflict adaptation ($F_{1,81}=85.40$, $p<0.001$, $\eta^2=0.51$) could also be demonstrated in the analysis of error rates. However, there was a significant four-way interaction between conflict adaptation, group and season ($F_{1,81}=5.19$, $p=0.025$, $\eta^2=0.06$). *Post-hoc* comparisons indicated a higher adaptation during on-season and a reduced adaptation effect off-season in the SAR

group ($p=0.02$) (Fig. 1b). No change in conflict adaptation in healthy controls was observed ($p's \geq 0.195$). *Post-hoc* group comparisons for respective testing sessions failed to reach significance (on-season: $p=0.253$; off-season: $p=0.195$). No other significant interactions with season and/or group were detected (all $p's \geq 0.377$).

Correlation analysis revealed a positive association between the observed conflict adaptation effects in error rates and STAI-S scores ($r=0.34$, $p=0.002$; Fig. 2c) and also in PSQI sleep quality scores ($r=0.23$, $p=0.042$) for on-season testing (data not shown). In a subsequent linear regression analysis including the factors STAI-S and PSQI sleep quality, only STAI-S proved to be a significant predictor of conflict adaptation (corrected $R^2=0.09$, $p=0.003$; $p=0.254$ for PSQI sleep quality). Furthermore, we found positive associations between total IgE levels and mean RT in the Simon task ($r=0.24$, $p=0.029$; Fig. 2b) and mean RT in CPT ($r=0.27$, $p=0.015$; Fig. 2a). No relationship of symptom severity, allergy duration, age of disease manifestation or allergy-related quality of life with cognitive performance during the symptomatic allergy season could be demonstrated ($p's \geq 0.141$).

Discussion

In this study we investigated performance in attention and dynamic attention control processes, and their potential determinants in patients with SAR and healthy controls during symptomatic and non-symptomatic allergy periods. The results provide substantial evidence for a reduction in psychomotor speed in SAR patients that persisted even in the absence of allergy symptoms. Furthermore, patients showed altered patterns of attentional control adjustments and a higher recruiting of cognitive control during the symptomatic period, whereas control adjustments were reduced during

Fig. 1. (a) Mean reaction times (in ms) in the Continuous Performance Task (CPT) and the Simon task for patients with seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR) and healthy controls (HC) at both testing points (on-season *versus* off-season). (b) Conflict adaptation effects as percentage error (PE) in the Simon task for SAR patients and HC on-season *versus* off-season. Error bars indicate standard errors of the mean. * $p < 0.05$, + $p < 0.05$ (one-tailed).

the non-symptomatic period. Allergy-induced performance changes were found to be related to IgE levels and mood, rather than subjective symptom complaints. These results contribute significantly to our understanding of SAR by identifying specific cognitive processes that are altered in patients with SAR under naturalistic conditions. Furthermore, they highlight the importance of looking beyond acute performance consequences and, for the first time, they provide evidence for an association of mood and IgE with these cognitive changes in SAR.

In comparing the performances of SAR patients with healthy controls, our first main finding is that patients show diminished performance in a sustained attention task during a symptomatic allergy period. This result supports the reported subjective complaints of allergy patients (Marshall *et al.* 2000) and previous studies that also detected poorer performance of SAR patients in a laboratory setting (Wilken *et al.* 2002; Hartgerink-Lutgens *et al.* 2009). These studies all used overall RT and error analysis as major outcomes. Given that vigilance is defined as sustaining selective attention over a longer period of time, impairments would be expected to initially occur during middle or late phases of task processing. Therefore, we hypothesized that contrasting performances in the

first and second halves of the tests would be a superior outcome measure. Against our expectations, there was no decrease in performance during the course of task processing. Instead, observed curtailments seemed to develop at the outset and may be somewhat better interpreted as a reduction in the speed of processing and/or reaction to task-relevant stimuli (i.e. impairment in psychomotor speed). Furthermore, this reduction in psychomotor speed persisted even in non-symptomatic allergy periods, which might reflect a stable cognitive deficit in SAR patients. However, no performance decrements in SAR patients were found for the applied measures of selective attention (i.e. omission errors in CPT) and impulsivity (i.e. commission errors in CPT).

Although no significant impairments in the ability to shield attention from conflicting task-irrelevant stimuli (i.e. the Simon effect) were found, the results from the applied Simon paradigm also support the assumption of stable psychomotor speed deficits in SAR patients. Specifically, we found a similar general reduction in RT irrespective of task condition (i.e. compatible *versus* incompatible trials) and season in SAR patients compared to healthy controls during performance of the Simon task. By using a naturalistic design, we therefore confirm a previous observation made by

Table 3. Performance on the Simon task

Trial type	SAR patients (<i>n</i> = 41)				Healthy controls (<i>n</i> = 42)			
	On-season		Off-season		On-season		Off-season	
	RT (ms)	Error (%)	RT (ms)	Error (%)	RT (ms)	Error (%)	RT (ms)	Error (%)
All trials	519 (10)	3.5 (0.6)	490 (9)	3.1 (0.5)	471 (10)	4.0 (0.6)	469 (9)	3.9 (0.5)
Compatible (C)	510 (11)	2.5 (0.5)	480 (9)	2.2 (0.4)	462 (11)	2.7 (0.5)	458 (9)	2.6 (0.4)
Incompatible (I)	529 (11)	4.5 (0.8)	500 (9)	4.0 (0.7)	480 (10)	5.3 (0.8)	480 (9)	5.3 (0.7)
Compatibility effect (I – C)	19 (4)	2.0 (0.3)	20 (3)	1.8 (0.3)	18 (4)	2.6 (0.4)	21 (4)	2.7 (0.5)
cC	490 (10)	1.3 (0.4)	462 (9)	1.3 (0.3)	445 (10)	1.7 (0.4)	443 (8)	1.5 (0.3)
cI	542 (11)	7.3 (1.2)	511 (9)	5.3 (1.0)	491 (11)	7.4 (1.2)	489 (9)	7.4 (1.0)
iC	529 (11)	3.6 (0.6)	498 (9)	3.1 (0.7)	478 (11)	3.6 (0.6)	473 (9)	3.7 (0.6)
iI	516 (11)	1.7 (0.7)	489 (9)	2.7 (0.6)	468 (10)	3.3 (0.7)	470 (9)	3.1 (0.6)
Conflict adaptation effect (cI – cC) – (iI – iC)	64 (5)	7.9 (1.0)	59 (6)	4.4 (1.1)	56 (5)	6.0 (1.2)	49 (5)	6.5 (1.2)

SAR, Seasonal allergic rhinitis; RT, reaction time.

Values are given as mean (standard error).

Hartgerink-Lutgens *et al.* (2009), who reported slower reactions of SAR patients in a motor choice reaction task irrespective of symptom provocation.

A further major finding of the present study is the season-dependent change in the adjustment of attentional control. During symptomatic allergy periods, patients showed an increase in conflict adaptation. Accordingly, after processing a conflict (e.g. incompatible conflict trial), patients were more able to diminish the influence of task-irrelevant information (e.g. stimulus location) on the subsequent trial, which was not observed after non-conflicting trials. This indicates a highly flexible, exaggerated up- and down-regulation of (selective) attention based on environmental signals. On more speculative terms, this higher flexibility in the recruitment and regulation of attentional resources during acute allergy phases could represent a compensatory strategy to cover detriments caused by allergic rhinitis and could therefore be linked with the debate of increased mental effort in SAR patients. This assumption would be in line with Hartgerink-Lutgens *et al.* (2009), who propose that the capacity to enhance attentional control will only be exhausted if task demands are too high or a high level of performance has to be maintained for too long. In this scenario, performance deficits would occur. The idea of mainly demanding functions (e.g. strategy-based thinking and acting) being impaired has also been confirmed in a complex simulation approach, trying to depict work-related impairments in a laboratory setting (Streufert & Satish, 2005). To our knowledge, this is the first time that dynamic aspects

of attentional control have been investigated in SAR patients.

The second aim of the study was to explore associations between cognitive impairments in SAR patients and allergy-related factors. On an intuitive level, disease factors that are most uncomfortable (i.e. sleeping problems, severity of acute symptomatology) have commonly been interpreted as the causing factors for performance problems. In our study SAR subjects indeed experienced substantial sleeping problems and were suffering from acute allergy-related symptomatology with concurrent decreases in well-being. However, in contrast to previous assumptions, these variables did not explain the observed changes in cognitive performance in our study. Neither several nights of disturbed sleep nor unpleasant allergic symptoms such as watery eyes or a congested nose accounted for the observed slowing of information processing and changes in conflict adaptation. Instead, particular cognitive changes were found to be associated with specific disease factors. The reduction in information processing in both the sustained attention task and the Simon task was associated with total IgE levels whereas conflict-driven attention adjustment was associated with state anxiety.

IgE has long been thought to play a prominent role in the association of atopy and mood problems (Timonen *et al.* 2003; Hashizume *et al.* 2005; Klok *et al.* 2007). However, to our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of a relationship between increased IgE levels and a decline in cognitive function (e.g. processing speed), which warrants further investigation. The mechanisms of how IgE affects cognitive processes

Fig. 2. Association between mean reaction time (RT in ms) in (a) the Continuous Performance Task (CPT) and (b) the Simon task with rank-transformed total immunoglobulin E (RIgE) level at on-season testing. (c) Amount of conflict adaptation (CA) as percentage error (PE) in the Simon task and the State Anxiety form of the Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI-S) at on-season testing.

are still unclear. Further evidence is necessary to determine whether total IgE is linked directly to cognition, or mirrors a more complex pattern of behavior-affecting immune parameters that are released in the IgE-mediated immunological cascade (e.g. histamine, cytokines). Based on recent research, increased amounts of pro-inflammatory cytokines released in the late phase of an allergic reaction (Ferreira, 2003) are particularly predestined to cause behavioral and cognitive changes because of their ability to cross the blood-brain barrier (for review, see McAfoose & Baune, 2009; Thayer & Sternberg, 2010; Capuron & Miller, 2011). Increased levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines have been related to decrements in processing speed, executive function and memory in immunologically challenged and unchallenged healthy populations and also in conditions of autoimmune diseases (Krabbe *et al.* 2005; Marsland *et al.* 2006; Heesen *et al.* 2010). In our study, SAR patients had a mean of 16 days of suffering from allergy symptoms prior to the on-season testing session. Thus, initiation of the allergic response, including activation of pro-inflammatory cytokines, is likely and may have contributed to the observed cognitive deficits during the pollen season.

The detected alteration of conflict-driven control adjustments in the current study was associated with and paralleled by a change in state anxiety. A corresponding relationship of attention control adjustment and negative mood states has previously been shown and discussed in the field of cognitive research (van Steenbergen *et al.* 2010, 2012; Kuhbandner & Zehetleitner, 2011; Padmala *et al.* 2011; Dreisbach & Fischer, 2012). In this context, van Steenbergen and colleagues have linked alterations in conflict adaptation with the mood-behavior model (MBM; Gendolla, 2000). The MBM posits that, based on its informative

value, negative mood is an indicator of insufficient satisfaction with performance, and leads to increased demand appraisals and therefore effort mobilization. In line with this argument, such effort-increasing effects of negative mood (i.e. state anxiety) could contribute to the allergy-induced pattern of performance alterations in our study. Alternatively, SAR patients might somehow be more sensitive to aversive events during acute allergy periods. Studies have shown that the experience of a cognitive conflict can serve as an aversive signal that initiates the recruitment of adaptive control (see Dreisbach & Fischer, 2012). A higher sensitivity for those aversive signals might therefore account for both the higher conflict adaptation effects and their correspondence to state anxiety.

To summarize, our data show that SAR represents a state that is linked to impairments in information processing and changes in attentional control adjustments that are, to some extent, not exclusively limited to periods of symptomatic allergic reactions. These cognitive alterations are widely unaffected by sleep impairments and subjective symptom severity, but may be influenced by mood and allergic inflammatory processes. The detected effects are small to medium. However, this range of effect sizes is not uncommon in psychological research and instead elucidates the complex interplay of several interacting factors in human cognition, of which the present study only targeted a limited number. Future studies should be encouraged by our data to further examine the impact of allergy on cognitive processes. In these studies, a larger sample size and direct measures of specific effort-processing strategies during task performance should be included. A comprehensive approach of methods and paradigms under consideration of further mediating or underlying factors such as cytokines, stress response and medication intake should be applied to

fully understand SAR and its induced burden. Based on that knowledge, future therapy strategies might improve in a way that includes allergy symptoms in their entirety. The current study has contributed to this development by addressing the lack of knowledge in allergy-induced cognitive changes and their mechanisms.

Declaration of Interest

J.S. received funding for investigator-initiated research from ALK.

References

- Bauchau V, Durham SR (2004). Prevalence and rate of diagnosis of allergic rhinitis in Europe. *European Respiratory Journal* **24**, 758–764.
- Belenky G, Wesensten NJ, Thorne DR, Thomas ML, Sing HC, Redmond DP, Russo MB, Balkin TJ (2003). Patterns of performance degradation and restoration during sleep restriction and subsequent recovery: a sleep dose-response study. *Journal of Sleep Research* **12**, 1–12.
- Benitez A, Gunstad J (2012). Poor sleep quality diminishes cognitive functioning independent of depression and anxiety in healthy young adults. *Clinical Neuropsychologist* **26**, 214–223.
- Bousquet J, Khaltaev N, Cruz AA, Denburg J, Fokkens WJ, Togias A, Zuberbier T, Baena-Cagnani CE, Canonica GW, van Weel C, Agache I, Ait-Khaled N, Bachert C, Blaiss MS, Bonini S, Boulet L-P, Bousquet P-J, Camargos P, Carlsen K-H, Chen Y, Custovic A, Dahl R, Demoly P, Douagui H, Durham SR, van Wijk RG, Kalayci O, Kaliner MA, Kim Y-Y, Kowalski ML, Kuna P, Le LT, Lemiere C, Li J, Lockey RF, Mavale-Manuel S, Meltzer EO, Mohammad Y, Mullol J, Naclerio R, O'Hehir RE, Ohta K, Ouedraogo S, Palkonen S, Papadopoulos N, Passalacqua G, Pawankar R, Popov TA, Rabe KF, Rosado-Pinto J, Scadding GK, Simons FER, Toskala E, Valovirta E, van Cauwenberge P, Wang D-Y, Wickman M, Yawn BP, Yorgancioglu A, Yusuf OM, Zar H, Annesi-Maesano I, Bateman ED, Ben Kheder A, Boakye DA, Bouchard J, Burney P, Busse WW, Chan-Yeung M, Chavannes NH, Chuchalin A, Dolen WK, Emuzyte R, Grouse L, Humbert M, Jackson C, Johnston SL, Keith PK, Kemp JP, Klossek J-M, Larenas-Linnemann D, Lipworth B, Malo J-L, Marshall GD, Naspitz C, Nekam K, Niggemann B, Nizankowska-Mogilnicka E, Okamoto Y, Orru MP, Potter P, Price D, Stoloff SW, Vandenplas O, Viegi G, Williams D (2008a). Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) 2008 update (in collaboration with the World Health Organization, GA(2)LEN and AllerGen). *Allergy* **63** (Suppl. 86), 8–160.
- Bousquet J, Reid J, Van Weel C, Baena Cagnani C, Canonica GW, Demoly P, Denburg J, Fokkens WJ, Grouse L, Mullol J, Ohta K, Schermer T, Valovirta E, Zhong N, Zuberbier T (2008b). Allergic rhinitis management pocket reference 2008. *Allergy* **63**, 990–996.
- Bower GH (1981). Mood and memory. *American Psychologist* **36**, 129–148.
- Buske-Kirschbaum A, Ebrecht M, Hellhammer DH (2010). Blunted HPA axis responsiveness to stress in atopic patients is associated with the acuity and severeness of allergic inflammation. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity* **24**, 1347–1353.
- Buyse DJ, Reynolds CF 3rd, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ (1989). The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Research* **28**, 193–213.
- Capuron L, Miller AH (2011). Immune system to brain signaling: neuropsychopharmacological implications. *Pharmacology and Therapeutics* **130**, 226–238.
- Dreisbach G, Fischer R (2012). The role of affect and reward in the conflict-triggered adjustment of cognitive control. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience* **6**, 342.
- Ferreira MAR (2003). Cytokine expression in allergic inflammation: systematic review of in vivo challenge studies. *Mediators of Inflammation* **12**, 259–267.
- Fischer R, Dreisbach G, Goschke T (2008). Context-sensitive adjustments of cognitive control: conflict-adaptation effects are modulated by processing demands of the ongoing task. *Journal of Experimental Psychology. Learning, Memory, and Cognition* **34**, 712–718.
- Gendolla GHE (2000). On the impact of mood on behavior: an integrative theory and a review. *Review of General Psychology* **4**, 378–408.
- Gratton G, Coles MG, Donchin E (1992). Optimizing the use of information: strategic control of activation of responses. *Journal of Experimental Psychology. General* **121**, 480–506.
- Hartgerink-Lutgens I, Vermeeren A, Vuurman E, Kremer B (2009). Disturbed cognitive functions after nasal provocation in patients with seasonal allergic rhinitis. *Clinical and Experimental Allergy* **39**, 500–508.
- Hashizume H, Horibe T, Ohshima A, Ito T, Yagi H, Takigawa M (2005). Anxiety accelerates T-helper 2-tilted immune responses in patients with atopic dermatitis. *British Journal of Dermatology* **152**, 1161–1164.
- Heesen C, Schulz KH, Fiehler J, Von der Mark U, Otte C, Jung R, Poettgen J, Krieger T, Gold SM (2010). Correlates of cognitive dysfunction in multiple sclerosis. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity* **24**, 1148–1155.
- Juniper EF, Thompson AK, Ferrie PJ, Roberts JN (1999). Validation of the standardized version of the Rhinoconjunctivitis Quality of Life Questionnaire. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* **104**, 364–369.
- Klokk M, Götestam KG, Mykletun A (2007). There are no association between IgE levels and symptoms of anxiety and depression in the adult female general population. The Hordaland Health Study (HUSK). *Nordic Journal of Psychiatry* **61**, 410–417.
- Knye M, Roth N, Westhus W, Heine A (1996). Continuous Performance Test (CPT). In *Kinderdiagnostisches System* (ed. G. W. Lauth and K. D. Hänsgen), pp. 34–37. Hogrefe: Göttingen.
- Koinis-Mitchell D, Craig T, Esteban CA, Klein RB (2012). Sleep and allergic disease: a summary of the literature and

- future directions for research. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* **130**, 1275–1281.
- Krabbe KS, Reichenberg A, Yirmiya R, Smed A, Pedersen BK, Bruunsgaard H (2005). Low-dose endotoxemia and human neuropsychological functions. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity* **19**, 453–460.
- Kremer B, den Hartog HM, Jolles J (2002). Relationship between allergic rhinitis, disturbed cognitive functions and psychological well-being. *Clinical and Experimental Allergy* **32**, 1310–1315.
- Kuhbandner C, Zehetleitner M (2011). Dissociable effects of valence and arousal in adaptive executive control. *PLoS ONE* **6**, e29287.
- Laux L, Glanzmann P, Schaffner P, Spielberger CD (1981). *State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI). Theoretical Foundations and Instructions* [in German]. Beltz: Weinheim.
- Manalai P, Hamilton RG, Langenberg P, Kosisky SE, Lapidus M, Sleemi A, Scrandis D, Cabassa JA, Rogers CA, Regenold WT, Dickerson F, Vittone BJ, Guzman A, Balis T, Tonelli LH, Postolache TT (2012). Pollen-specific immunoglobulin E positivity is associated with worsening of depression scores in bipolar disorder patients during high pollen season. *Bipolar Disorders* **14**, 90–98.
- Marshall PS, Colon EA (1993). Effects of allergy season on mood and cognitive function. *Annals of Allergy* **71**, 251–258.
- Marshall PS, O'Hara C, Steinberg P (2000). Effects of seasonal allergic rhinitis on selected cognitive abilities. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology* **84**, 403–410.
- Marshall PS, O'Hara C, Steinberg P (2002). Effects of seasonal allergic rhinitis on fatigue levels and mood. *Psychosomatic Medicine* **64**, 684–691.
- Marsland AL, Petersen KL, Sathanoori R, Muldoon MF, Neumann SA, Ryan C, Flory JD, Manuck SB (2006). Interleukin-6 covaries inversely with cognitive performance among middle-aged community volunteers. *Psychosomatic Medicine* **68**, 895–903.
- McAfoose J, Baune BT (2009). Evidence for a cytokine model of cognitive function. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* **33**, 355–366.
- Meltzer EO, Nathan R, Derebery J, Stang PE, Campbell UB, Yeh W-S, Corrao M, Stanford R (2009). Sleep, quality of life, and productivity impact of nasal symptoms in the United States: findings from the Burden of Rhinitis in America survey. *Allergy and Asthma Proceedings* **30**, 244–254.
- Muliol J, Maurer M, Bousquet J (2008). Sleep and allergic rhinitis. *Journal of Investigational Allergology and Clinical Immunology* **18**, 415–419.
- Padmala S, Bauer A, Pessoa L (2011). Negative emotion impairs conflict-driven executive control. *Frontiers in Psychology* **2**, 192.
- Plessow F, Fischer R, Kirschbaum C, Goschke T (2011). Inflexibly focused under stress: acute psychosocial stress increases shielding of action goals at the expense of reduced cognitive flexibility with increasing time lag to the stressor. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* **23**, 3218–3227.
- Simon JR (1990). The effects of an irrelevant directional cue on human information processing. In *Stimulus-Response Compatibility: An Integrated Perspective* (ed. R. W. Proctor and T. G. Reeve), pp. 31–86. North-Holland: Amsterdam.
- Steyer R, Schwenkmetzger P, Notz P, Eid M (1997). *The Multidimensional Mood Questionnaire (MDBF)* [in German]. Hogrefe: Göttingen.
- Streufert S, Satish U (2005). Impact of allergic rhinitis on simulated real-world performance. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology* **35**, 1455–1473.
- Stürmer B, Leuthold H, Soetens E, Schröter H, Sommer W (2002). Control over location-based response activation in the Simon task: behavioral and electrophysiological evidence. *Journal of Experimental Psychology. Human Perception and Performance* **28**, 1345–1363.
- Thayer JF, Sternberg EM (2010). Neural aspects of immunomodulation: focus on the vagus nerve. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity* **24**, 1223–1228.
- Thompson A, Sardana N, Craig TJ (2013). Sleep impairment and daytime sleepiness in patients with allergic rhinitis: the role of congestion and inflammation. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology* **111**, 446–451.
- Timonen M, Jokelainen J, Hakko H, Silvennoinen-Kassinen S, Meyer-Rochow VB, Herva A, Räsänen P (2003). Atopy and depression: results from the Northern Finland 1966 Birth Cohort Study. *Molecular Psychiatry* **8**, 738–744.
- Van Steenbergen H, Band GPH, Hommel B (2010). In the mood for adaptation: how affect regulates conflict-driven control. *Psychological Science* **21**, 1629–1634.
- Van Steenbergen H, Booi L, Band GPH, Hommel B, van der Does AJW (2012). Affective regulation of cognitive-control adjustments in remitted depressive patients after acute tryptophan depletion. *Cognitive, Affective and Behavioral Neuroscience* **12**, 280–286.
- Virchow JC, Kay S, Demoly P, Mullol J, Canonica W, Higgins V (2011). Impact of ocular symptoms on quality of life (QoL), work productivity and resource utilisation in allergic rhinitis patients – an observational, cross sectional study in four countries in Europe. *Journal of Medical Economics* **14**, 305–314.
- Wilken JA, Berkowitz R, Kane R (2002). Decrements in vigilance and cognitive functioning associated with ragweed-induced allergic rhinitis. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology* **89**, 372–380.