**Dieses Dokument ist eine Zweitveröffentlichung (Verlagsversion) /
This is a self-archiving document (published version):**

R. Brückner, V. G. Lyssenko, S. Hofmann, K. Leo

**Lasing of Tamm states in highly efficient organic devices based on
small-molecule organic semiconductors**

Erstveröffentlichung in / First published in:

Faraday Discussions. 2014, (174), S. 183–201 [Zugriff am: 01.11.2019]. Royal Society of Chemistry. ISSN 1364-5498.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/c4fd00094c>

Diese Version ist verfügbar / This version is available on:

<https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:de:bsz:14-qucosa2-361298>

„Dieser Beitrag ist mit Zustimmung des Rechteinhabers aufgrund einer (DFGgeförderten) Allianz- bzw. Nationallizenz frei zugänglich.“

This publication is openly accessible with the permission of the copyright owner. The permission is granted within a nationwide license, supported by the German Research Foundation (abbr. in German DFG).

www.nationallizenzen.de/

PAPER

[View Article Online](#)
[View Journal](#) | [View Issue](#)

Lasing of Tamm states in highly efficient organic devices based on small-molecule organic semiconductors

R. Brückner, V. G. Lyssenko, S. Hofmann and K. Leo*

Received 5th May 2014, Accepted 4th June 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c4fd00094c

We discuss approaches to increase the light outcoupling efficiency in organic microcavity (MC) lasers and organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs). We find that the introduction of metals into the cavities leads to additional Tamm-plasmon polariton modes, while the corrugation of metal contacts, such as perforated μ -size holes or a periodic array of metal stripes, leads to 2D confinement of the cavity modes, which in turn reduces the lasing threshold in MCs. Furthermore, we elucidate light loss mechanisms in OLEDs and reveal how external dielectric layers and periodic gratings can be used to enhance outcoupling from the OLED cavity.

Introduction

In recent years, devices based on organic semiconductors have made rapid progress. In order to gain knowledge of the interactions between light and matter, organic microcavities (MCs), *i.e.* an organic light-emitting material sandwiched between two dielectric mirrors (distributed Bragg reflectors, DBRs), are widely studied. The introduction of metal layers is especially of interest to construct an electrically driven organic solid state laser and important progress has already been achieved.¹

The first organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs) were demonstrated by Tang and Van Slyke in 1987.² Nowadays, OLEDs are widely used in displays for smart phones or for lighting applications. In comparison to common light sources, OLEDs have several advantages: they are flat area emitters offering a diffuse light perception, wide viewing angles, and they can be realized on flexible substrates. For both display and lighting applications, high efficiency is crucial. The efficiencies reached with the first OLEDs were quite low. For optimization, an adequate understanding of the electric, excitonic, and optical processes limiting these efficiencies is required. The reasons for low efficiency are: (i) the inefficient injection and transport of charge carriers which is hindered by space-charge limited currents and contact resistance, (ii) the

Institut für Angewandte Photophysik, TU Dresden, 01069 Dresden, Germany. E-mail: karl.leo@iapp.de

fluorescent emitter system used, *i.e.* all electrically excited triplets are lost, and (iii) the light outcoupling is not optimized for the thin film structure, *i.e.* light is trapped inside the OLED.

Electrical improvements regarding the charge injection from the contacts and the charge carrier transport can be achieved by adding blocking layers and (molecularly) doped transport layers. With the latter, a pin-structure could be realized which has been intensively studied in recent years.^{3–7}

Excitons are excited states that are responsible for light emission. They are generated by the recombination of holes and electrons, either forming a singlet or a triplet. Due to spin statistics, about 25% singlets and 75% triplets are generated in the OLED. Their distribution on the different emitter molecules is crucial for the design of efficient OLEDs. The internal quantum yield, *i.e.* the conversion efficiency of charge carriers into photons, in OLEDs comprising only phosphorescent emitters is nowadays almost 100%.

However, due to internal losses (total internal reflection, absorption, and surface plasmon polaritons⁸), about 70 to 80% of the generated photons are trapped inside the OLED thin film structure and the substrate.⁹ Hence, optical properties and light outcoupling enhancement methods are the main remaining obstacles to achieve high efficiency^{10–14} of OLEDs.

In the following, we cover the theoretical background of lasing in MCs. Furthermore, we investigate the introduction of metal layers and corrugation into the cavities and their influence on the lasing threshold. Finally, we elucidate light loss mechanisms in OLEDs and reveal how external dielectric layers and periodic gratings can be used to enhance the efficiency of top-emitting OLEDs.

Microcavities

In a planar microcavity,¹⁵ photons are confined between DBRs in the cavity layer with thickness, L_c , and refractive index, n_c . The emission of the excited cavity layer propagates in growth z -direction with a resonance wave vector, $k_z = \pi/n_c L_c$, and can propagate at an oblique angle, φ , with a longitudinal wave vector, k_x , and a “parabolic-like” dispersion:

$$E(k_x) = \frac{\hbar c}{n_c} \sqrt{\left(\frac{\pi}{L_c}\right)^2 + k_x^2} \approx E(0) + \frac{\hbar^2 k_x^2}{2m_x}, \quad (1)$$

where $\pi\hbar c/n_c L_c = E(0)$ is the energy of the fundamental mode, $m_x = \pi\hbar n_c/cL_c$ corresponds to an “effective mass” for photons, while $k_x = \omega \sin \varphi/c$ is related to the angle φ of the emitted light with respect to the optical axis. By measuring the angular-resolved reflection, transmission, or emission spectra of the MCs, one can restore the true dispersion curves of exciton–polaritons and their nature. In Fig. 1, angular-resolved TE- and TM-polarized spontaneous emission spectra of the red fluorescent emitter 4-(dicyanomethylene)-2-methyl-6-[*p*-(dimethyl-amino)-styryl]-4*H*-pyran (DCM) doped into the tris(8-hydroxy-quinolinato)-aluminium (Alq₃) matrix, embedded between two DBRs and excited by a cw non-resonant 405 nm laser below the lasing-threshold intensity, are shown. Both the cavity-mode (620 nm) and emission through short-wavelength DBR sidebands (570 nm) show a parabolic-like dispersion according to eqn (1).

Fig. 1 Experimental angular-resolved TM- and TE-polarized emission spectra of the cavity parabola-like mode at 620 nm (see eqn (1)) and transmitted through DBR side-band at 570 nm.

Purcell enhancement¹⁶

In 1946, Mills Purcell proposed to control the spontaneous emission (SE) rate of a monochromatic dipole with an emitting wavelength, λ_e , and linewidth, $\Delta\lambda_e$, by using a microcavity (MC) with a single cavity mode to tailor the number of electromagnetic modes to which it is coupled.¹⁷ For the case of the “weak coupling” $\Delta\lambda_e \ll \Delta\lambda_c$, the escape time of SE photons out of the cavity is much shorter than the radiative lifetime and reabsorption is negligible. A simple derivation shows that the SE rate in the microcavity mode is given by the Purcell factor, $F = 3Q\lambda_c^3/4\pi^2n_cV$, where n_c is the refractive index of the medium and V is the effective mode volume. Additionally, the radiative rate Γ_r is strongly enhanced at above-threshold excitations, proportional to the density, n , of coherent photons. Therefore, the ratio $\Gamma_r/(\Gamma_r + \Gamma_{nr})$ can reach ~ 1 .

Rate equations and lasing¹⁸

Above the lasing threshold, lasing occurs when the round-trip gain is greater than the round-trip loss. The threshold is determined as the pump power at which the mean stimulated and spontaneous emission rates into the lasing mode are equal.^{19,20} The rates of spontaneous emission into the lasing and non-lasing modes are described as fractions, β and $1 - \beta$, of the total spontaneous emission rate. The corresponding angular-resolved emission spectra at the above-threshold excitation is presented in Fig. 2. Due to the increased number of excited state molecules, both the gain and the rate of spontaneous emission, Γ_r , into non-lasing modes increased.

The discussion of lasing in MC with a four-level homogeneously broadened gain medium can be carried out using rate equations.²¹ The model is defined by the Maxwell–Bloch equations:

Fig. 2 Experimental angular-resolved emission above the lasing threshold.

$$\dot{\alpha} = -\kappa\alpha + g\nu, \quad (2a)$$

$$\dot{\nu} = -\gamma_{\perp}/2\nu + g\alpha N, \quad (2b)$$

$$\dot{N} = -\gamma_{\parallel}N + \Gamma + g(\alpha\nu^* + \nu\alpha^*), \quad (2c)$$

where α is the complex amplitude of the laser field (in photon number units), N is a number of atoms in the upper level of the lasing transition, ν is the gain-medium polarization amplitude summed over all of the atoms, 2κ is the photon decay rate, $\gamma_{\parallel}$ is the spontaneous emission rate to modes other than the laser mode, $\gamma_{\perp}$ is the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the homogeneous gain, g is the dipole coupling constant, and Γ is excitation rate.

The polarization $\gamma_{\perp}$ damps on a subpicosecond time scale and may therefore be adiabatically eliminated. As a result, eqn (2) can be replaced by the following equivalent rate equations:

$$\dot{n}/\gamma_{\parallel} = -\lambda n + \beta n N, \quad (3a)$$

$$\dot{N}/\gamma_{\parallel} = -N + P - \beta n N, \quad (3b)$$

where $\lambda = 2\kappa/\gamma_{\perp}$, $P = \Gamma/\gamma_{\perp}$, $\beta = 4g^2/\gamma_{\perp}\gamma_{\parallel}$, and $n = |\alpha|^2$ is the photon number.

Spontaneous emission into the laser mode can be included by the replacement of the photon number n by $n + 1$ in the stimulated emission term $\beta n N$. Thus the generalized rate equations are:

$$\dot{n}/\gamma_{\parallel} = -\lambda n + \beta n N + \beta N, \quad (4a)$$

$$\dot{N}/\gamma_{\parallel} = -N + P - \beta n N - \beta N, \quad (4b)$$

where λ and P are the cavity decay rate and pumping rate, respectively, measured in units of the spontaneous emission rate; β is the branching ratio which specifies the fraction of spontaneous emission directed into the laser mode. The steady-state solutions of eqn (4) are:

$$n = \frac{2P - \lambda \pm \sqrt{(2P - \lambda)^2 + 4\lambda\beta^2 P}}{2\lambda\beta}, \quad (5a)$$

$$N = P/(1 + \beta n), \quad v = 2g\alpha N/\gamma_{\perp}. \quad (5b)$$

Fig. 3 presents the experimentally measured (circles) intensities of the spontaneous and stimulated emission from an organic MC and calculated using eqn (5a). Here, the experimental and calculated results are in remarkable agreement.

In the next step, the temporal development of the emitted laser pulses above the threshold is investigated in an up-conversion experiment, when the 800 nm pulse of the amplifier is split into two laser beams. For one beam, the frequency is doubled and used as the ~ 400 nm pump beam of the organic MC. The MC emission is guided into another non-linear crystal and is added with the second 800 nm pulses, the gate beam, from the amplifier. Its temporal arrival can be varied by a delay stage in the range of up to ~ 500 ps. The time resolution of this experiment is given by the gate pulse duration of about 200 fs. Fig. 4(a) presents five time traces of the organic laser for different pump powers above the threshold. At the lowest recorded pump pulse power (0.78 nJ), the maximum output occurs about 150 ps after the arrival of the pump pulse with a pulse width of 60 ps. With increasing power, the MC pulse appears earlier and exhibits a narrower temporal width. After nearly doubling the excitation power to 1.3 nJ, the organic laser shows the highest output 40 ps after pump pulse incidence with a reduced width of 14 ps. The existence of the gain switched state is much shorter than the natural lifetime of the excited state as observed by the spontaneous emission lifetime. An additional requirement for gain switching is a short photon lifetime which is also fulfilled by $\tau_{\text{cav}} = 1.5$ ps. In our experiment, a 400 fs pump pulse excites a large number of Alq₃ molecules, which have a comparably long

Fig. 3 Experimentally measured (circles) intensities of spontaneous and stimulated emission from organic MC and calculated using eqn (5a). Reprint with permission from ref. 22. Copyright 2005, AIP LLC.²²

Fig. 4 (a) Time-resolved organic MC emission as measured by an up-conversion technique for pump powers above the threshold. (b) The laser output is modeled by a set of rate equations for the different excitation energies. Reprint with permission from ref. 22. Copyright 2005, AIP LLC.²²

radiative lifetime of about $\tau_{\text{Alq}_3} = 20$ ns. The excitation is non-radiatively transferred to the DCM molecules with a lifetime of $\tau_{\text{DCM}} = 5$ ns. As the up-conversion data show, the laser emission occurs after several picoseconds and thus appears sufficiently earlier than the spontaneous emission. Again, the simulated time-resolved intensities using the rate equations (Fig. 4(b)) are in good agreement with the experimental data.

Metal layers: Tamm-plasmon polaritons

In order to realize optoelectronic devices, electrical contacts are needed. In the case of OLEDs, $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3:\text{Sn}$ (ITO) with typical layer thicknesses of 80–150 nm is most commonly used as a semitransparent electrode. The second way to efficiently contact an organic active layer is the utilization of thin metallic layers, which can easily be deposited by means of thermal evaporation under high vacuum. This fact motivates the study of metallic structures inside organic MCs which may enable electrical contacting. In addition, metals may also serve as broadband high-reflectivity mirrors and act as a heat sink due to their high thermal conductivity.²³

Similar to electronic Tamm states at the surface of a crystal, optical Tamm states can form at the interface between two photonic structures with overlapping band gaps.²⁴ The complex interplay between a metal layer and a periodic DBR results in the formation of localized surface states at the interface.

In order to verify this interpretation, the transfer matrix formalism^{25,26} is utilized to numerically determine the transmission properties of a half-

Fig. 5 Calculated transmission spectra of the organic MC with a Ag layer of different thicknesses inserted between the organic cavity layer and TiO₂ top layer of the bottom DBR.

wavelength organic microcavity with a silver layer of increasing thickness (Fig. 5). At a silver thickness of zero, only one cavity resonance (TS1) exists at the design wavelength, $\lambda_c = 632$ nm. Upon increasing the silver thickness up to ~ 40 nm, we observe a continuous shift of the cavity resonance towards lower energies and the emergence of a new mode (TS2) from the DBR side band shifting to higher energies. Both spectral movements of the modes saturate at a silver thickness of ~ 40 – 50 nm, at a minimal spectral distance of 22 nm. In Fig. 6, the simulated spatial distribution of the resonance cavity mode at $\lambda_c \sim 620$ nm demonstrates a substantial enhancement of the electric field in the cavity resulting in an enhancement of the spontaneous emission.

In Fig. 7, the calculated and experimental transmission spectra of unpolarized light are presented. The simulated parameters are selected to obtain the best agreement between experimental results and simulations for two cases, with and without the embedded metallic layer. When the metal is present, both of the Tamm states are visible, with the base eigenenergy of TS1 at 640 nm. This state is therefore supported by the gain of DCM and may preferentially show lasing. With no metal inside the structure, the ordinary cavity state is located at 620 nm.

To experimentally demonstrate and investigate the lasing from the Tamm-plasmon states, nanosecond pulses of 532 nm wavelength are utilized to pump neighboring regions either with or without 40 nm Ag layer. In this experiment, regions with homogeneous metal, 15 μm away from metal-free regions, are pumped *via* the focused beam (diameter of 3 μm). In Fig. 8, the angle-resolved emission patterns of the cavity against the excitation energy are presented. In panel (a), both the cavity state and the TS1 appear.

Examining the quality factor, $Q = \lambda_c / \Delta\lambda_c$, of the modes leads to values of ~ 1400 for the cavity state and about ~ 650 for TS1.²⁷ In panel (b), the emission intensity increases by a factor of two to 0.11 nJ. By increasing the excitation energy further, the coherence threshold of the cavity state is reached at 620 nm (panel (c), excitation energy 0.2 nJ). At $k = 0$ of the corresponding parabola, a super-linear

Fig. 6 Spatial distribution of the cavity modes with wavelengths of 630, 657, and 686 nm in MC with an inserted 40 nm silver layer between the left DBR and organic layer.

increase in emission intensity is observed accompanied by a reduction in line-width. A further increase in pump energy leads to the observation of a second non-linear threshold, this time of the TS1 at ~ 640 nm. A significant reduction in

Fig. 7 Calculated (left panel) and experimental (right panel) angular-resolved transmission spectra without (left part of each panel) and with (right part of each panel) inserted 30 nm Ag layer.

Fig. 8 Angular dispersion of the CS and TS1 against the excitation energy. (a) At low excitation energy, both modes are apparent with different intensities. (b) Increasing the pump energy leads to a linear increase in the spontaneous emission until (c), the threshold of the cavity mode is reached. (d) By increasing the excitation further, the emission of the TS1 mode at $k = 0$ increases super-linearly indicating lasing. Graph taken from ref. 27.²⁷

the spectral emission wavelength is further evidence of lasing from a Tamm-plasmon polariton, see panel (d).

Confined modes

Elliptic holes in the metal layer

Light propagating in 3D space is reflected from the interface between two media with different refractive indices, or with different propagation constants for a 2D waveguide. In a planar MC, light can be confined in the z -direction between two DBRs, and additionally in elliptic islands in the xy space within the thin metal layers.

The far field emission of holes with different diameters (4, 7, and 10 μm) excited exactly in the middle of the hole is shown in Fig. 9(a)–(c). The parabola-shaped dispersion curves with cutoff wavelengths at 655 nm (TS1) and 690 nm (TS2) originate from Tamm states formed due to the incorporated 40 nm silver layer. Each of the states is represented by a set of two parabolas as a result of the splitting between the TE and TM polarized light at nonzero angles.

In addition to the states TS1 and TS2, a parabola-shaped discretized cavity state (CS) is present at 619 nm. The coexistence of Tamm states and the cavity parabola is explained by the lateral extent of the wave functions which may substantially overlap at regions next to the metal edge. The discrete far field pattern of the CS is a typical fingerprint of confined optical systems which is caused by the boundary conditions of the holes in the metal layer.²⁸

As expected for good optical confinement, the energy spacing between adjacent discrete levels decreases and the angular linewidth narrows when the hole diameter is increased (Fig. 9(b)).^{29,30} By increasing the diameter further, the energy

Fig. 9 Angle-resolved photoluminescence spectra of the metal-organic MC excited centrally in three holes of (a) 4 μm , (b) 7 μm , and (c) 10 μm diameter by a 405 nm cw laser beam with a 2 μm -diameter spot. Reprint with permission from ref. 30. Copyright 2005, AIP LLC.³⁰

splitting vanishes (Fig. 9(c)) and the parabola narrows until, at a diameter of 15 μm , it becomes indistinguishable from the delocalized cavity mode.

As presented in Fig. 10, the lasing threshold and efficiency of the emission from μ -holes are improved in comparison to surrounded Tamm-plasmon polariton states.

External efficiency control *via* gain spectrum and periodic structuring

Lai *et al.*³¹ investigated emission from the array of periodically spaced metal stripes and observed coherent emission from both the zero-ground state and

Fig. 10 Cavity state (squares) lasing threshold of a 12 μm hole compared to unstructured spot (circles) confinement improves the lasing threshold by decreasing the cavity decay rate by a factor of 3.

Bloch-like excited π -states. In the following publications,^{32,33} it was shown that at higher excitations the extended and confined modes can simultaneously coexist and be manipulated optically, depending on the excitation spot position in periodically modulated inorganic MC structures. These coherent phenomena in photonic boxes and wires have been described theoretically.³⁴

Periodic distribution of gain in planar MCs can be created by two or more interfering laser beams with a period of a few microns depending on the angle between the excitation beams. In these cases, coherent emissions have a periodic distribution, resulting in two or more normal and oblique lasing beams.

In Fig. 11(a–d), the coherent multi-beam laser emission from organic planar MCs at above-threshold periodically-modulated excitations is shown for four different angles between two interfering excitation beams. In addition to the spontaneous emission with a parabola-like dispersion (green), substantially strong multi-beam lasing emission peaks (pink) occur near the bottom of the parabola at $\theta = 0^\circ$. The corresponding spatial distributions of the emission patterns are presented in Fig. 11(e–h).

In the case of a periodically rectangular patterned cavity layer of the organic MC with periods of ~ 7 and $11\ \mu\text{m}$, coincidence of the extended and confined modes were observed in the far-field emission spectra (Fig. 12).

Organic light-emitting diodes

To achieve high luminous efficacy, the driving voltage of the OLED needs to be low. With the introduction of doped transport layers and exciton blocking layers, pin-OLEDs achieve driving voltages close to the thermodynamic limit. We will thus not discuss this aspect further in this work. In the following, the key factors which determine the external quantum efficiency (EQE) of OLEDs will be described and explained.

External quantum efficiency^{35,36}

Regarding the electrical excitation, creation of electron–hole pairs and excitons, their radiative emission, and optical outcoupling processes, the external quantum

Fig. 11 Coherent multi-beam laser emission from an organic planar MC at above-threshold periodically-modulated excitations with four different angles between two interfering excitation beams.

Fig. 12 Experimental angular-resolved emission spectra from an organic MC with a periodically-modulated cavity layer below (left) and above (right) the lasing threshold.

efficiency, η_{EQE} , is defined as the ratio of the number of photons emitted from the device to the number of carriers injected into the molecular layers. It can be split into four terms:

$$\eta_{\text{EQE}} = \gamma \eta_{\text{S/T}} \eta_{\text{r}} \eta_{\text{out}}, \quad (6)$$

where γ is the electrical efficiency (also known as the charge carrier balance factor). It describes the ratio of generated excitons to the number of injected electrons. The ratio of singlets/triplets is described by the exciton spin factor $\eta_{\text{S/T}}$, with $\eta_{\text{S/T}} = 1$ for phosphorescent emitters, and $\eta_{\text{S/T}} = 0.25$ for fluorescent emitters. The effective radiative efficiency, η_{r} (or internal quantum efficiency of the emitter), describes the number of generated photons to the number of generated excitons:

$$\eta_{\text{r}} = F \Gamma_{\text{r}} / (F \Gamma_{\text{r}} + \Gamma_{\text{nr}}). \quad (7)$$

The Purcell¹⁴ factor, F , accounts for the quantum mechanical effect that the radiative efficiency of an emitter depends on its surrounding. In free space $F = 1$, while in OLEDs, F ranges values below and above 1. Γ_{r} and Γ_{nr} are the radiative and nonradiative decay rates of the emitter, which are strongly dependent on the emission spectrum. Here, the wavelength dependency of the respective efficiencies (*i.e.* the photoluminescence, absorption and gain spectra) needs to be considered. Often, the product of the charge balance, the exciton spin factor, and the efficiency of radiative decay are summarized as the internal quantum efficiency, $\eta_{\text{i}} \leq 1$.

Light outcoupling

The last term in eqn (6) is the outcoupling efficiency, η_{out} . It accounts for the ratio of outcoupled photons to generated photons, *i.e.* the probability that light generated within the device is coupled out through the transparent substrate (Fig. 13). The outcoupling losses are caused by the different indices of refraction of the organic materials, metal, ITO, and the glass substrate. Thus, reflected light will be trapped within the organic and the glass, which leads to absorption in the

Fig. 13 Light loss mechanisms inside the OLED resulting from total internal reflection. The light modes can be distinguished into outcoupled, wave-guided, and surface plasmon modes. Absorption losses in the ITO and the doped transport layers can be expected to play a minor role. Refraction at the interfaces has been omitted for simplification.

ITO and the metal cathode. As shown in Fig. 13, three different light modes can be distinguished within the device: (i) surface plasmon modes at the organic–metal interface, (ii) wave-guided modes, and (iii) substrate modes. These losses indicate that there is huge potential for efficiency improvement by outcoupling the trapped modes.^{37,38}

The outcoupled emission exhibits a strong dependence on the emission layer (EML) position and thickness. Fig. 14 shows the experimentally obtained EQE (dots) in conjunction with the simulated loss mechanisms for a red phosphorescent OLED. The outcoupled emission is characterized by a first maximum, a first minimum, and a second maximum. This can be understood by regarding the resonance condition inside the OLED cavity for 0° : $2L = m\lambda$, with $L = n_c d$ as the optical thickness of the cavity (*i.e.* the cavity length, d , multiplied by the refractive index, n_c) and $m = 1, 2, \dots$, the resonance order. The cavity condition is a result of

Fig. 14 Quantified loss mechanisms in a red phosphorescent pin-OLED for different ETL thicknesses by Meerheim *et al.*³⁶ The simulated external quantum efficiency agrees nicely with experimental results (at low current density of 1.51 mA cm^{-2} , configuration as shown on the right side). Reprint with permission from ref. 39. Copyright 2010, American Institute of Physics.³⁹

Fig. 15 Electromagnetic field intensities of the waveguide modes for the devices shown in Fig. 14 at the peak wavelength of the emitter (610 nm, 0°) for metal/organic/ITO structures. From left to right: $3/4\lambda$ cavity with the emitter located 70 nm from the metallic contact, λ cavity with the emitter at 155 nm and $5/4\lambda$ cavity with the emitter at 250 nm. Increasing the ETL thickness and thus the cavity thickness shifts the emitting molecules through a first maximum, a minimum, and a second maximum. Reprint with permission from ref. 9. Copyright 2010, American Institute of Physics.⁹

constructive and destructive interference of the light modes. The calculation of the electromagnetic field confirms this condition. The calculated field intensity for different cavity lengths, L , and emitter positions is shown in Fig. 15.

If the emitting molecules are placed in the maximum of the electro-magnetic field, a large number of radiation modes are available, and therefore a pronounced coupling to the outcoupled modes can be expected. The coupling of the emitting molecules to the electromagnetic field strongly influences the out-coupling efficiency and qualitatively explains the dependence of the outcoupling efficiency in Fig. 14. In contrast, placing the emitters into the node of the field, leads to low outcoupling efficiencies. The influence of the emitter coupling on the field and the Purcell effect on the outcoupling efficiency are often referred to as cavity effects.

Fig. 16 Normalized light transmission of the top contact including the capping layer at a wavelength of 510 nm as a function of the capping and spacer 1 layer thickness calculated based on the following OLED structure: Ag (80 nm)/spacer 1 (Y nm)/emitter (15 nm)/spacer 2 (35 nm)/Ag (15 nm)/capping layer (X nm). The refractive index of all of organic materials used is assumed to be 1.75.

Fig. 17 Measured angular dependent emission spectra for s- and p-polarized light of planar (a and b) and corrugated top-emitting OLED (c and d) operating in the second optical maximum. Bragg scattering at the one dimensional shallow sub- μm grating superimposes sharp spectral features to the resonant cavity emission, resulting from constructive and destructive interference of the cavity emission and Bragg scattered modes. Reproduced from ref. 48. Copyright 2013, International Society for Optics and Photonics.

A large number of methods have been studied to improve light outcoupling, for example, the use of a resonant cavity,⁴⁰ the excitation of surface plasmons,⁴¹ the use of periodic structures placed in the optically active layer to introduce Bragg scattering normal to the substrate plane,⁴² high refractive index glass in combination with a half-sphere,⁴³ and many more. Despite the fact that white OLEDs with fluorescent tube efficiencies can be achieved at a lab scale, out-coupling methods for OLED fabrication on an industrial scale are still pending.

Top-emitting OLEDs

Top-emitting OLEDs are characterized by a highly reflective bottom electrode and a semitransparent top electrode, and in contrast to the previously described bottom-emitting OLEDs emit light away from the substrate. Thus, the OLED forms an MC, where the light is reflected back and forth between both electrodes

Fig. 18 Measured spectral radiant intensity of the corrugated device in p-polarization (false color scale) along with the simulated power dissipation spectra of the identical planar reference (gray-scale) outside the experimentally accessible region. Black arrows indicate the reciprocal lattice vector and link the modes of the simulated planar MC to the measured modes arising from Bragg scattering (colored lines: scattered surface plasmon (SPP) or wave-guided (WG) modes). Reproduced from ref. 50. Copyright 2013, International Society for Optics and Photonics.

leading to narrowing of the emitted spectrum and inefficient light outcoupling at non-resonant conditions.

Capping layer

To improve light outcoupling and to weaken the microcavity effects, a capping layer of a high-index material is deposited onto the top electrode. This changes the transmittance of the top contact, as known from thin film optics, and improves the EQE of the OLED.⁴⁴ In Fig. 16, it is demonstrated that the maximum efficiency is obtained at neither the highest nor the lowest transparency of the top contact, but at a certain value in between, which depends on the interference effects within the device.

In fact the best outcoupling results are obtained when not only the transmittance of the top electrode is optimized by varying the capping layer, but when the optical structure of the whole device is adjusted.

Bragg scattering^{45–49}

In Fig. 17(a and b), angular-resolved s- and p-polarized spontaneous emission spectra of a planar top-emitting OLED are shown. As is typical for MCs, the emitted light demonstrates a parabolic angular dependence of the emission spectra. In order to enhance the EQE, a corrugation in the form of a line grating

with a period of 750 nm and 40 nm height is introduced by a nano-imprint photoresist layer beneath the bottom electrode.

The corresponding emission spectra are given in Fig. 17(c and d). The Bragg scattering at the 1D grating superimposes sharp spectral features to the cavity-mode. By analyzing the measured emission spectra with the simulated emission for the whole k space, these features can be identified as Bragg scattered wave-guided and surface plasmon modes of different orders (Fig. 18).

Moreover, the EQE of the corrugated OLED is enhanced by a factor of 1.16 in comparison to the planar reference.

Conclusions

The external efficiency of light-emitting structures is strongly influenced by their optical design. Our article discusses some approaches to increase the external efficiency of organic microcavities and organic light-emitting devices. In organic microcavities with a high Q -factor ~ 1000 , where the emitting layer is embedded by distributed Bragg reflectors, the 1D confinement increases the spontaneous emission due to the Purcell effect. Further optimization of the thicknesses of the metal, active organic and adjusting dielectric layer minimizes absorption of light by the metal, allowing the lasing of the coupled Tamm-plasmon polaritons. High above the threshold excitation, lasing substantially increases the ratio between radiative and non-radiative emission. Corrugation of the metal contacts, such as perforated μ -size holes or a periodic array of metal stripes, leads to 2D confinement of the cavity modes, decreasing the lasing threshold.

Using light refractive, diffractive, or scattering elements in OLEDs, the external quantum efficiency can be strongly enhanced. For top-emitting OLEDs a dielectric organic capping layer and periodically structured line-grating especially increase the efficiency. It is expected that by optimization of the grating period, grating height, and the implementation of two-dimensional gratings, the light out-coupling and thus the EQE can be further improved.

Acknowledgements

We thank Mauro Furno, Qiang Huang, Rico Meerheim, Tobias Schwab, Cornelius Fuchs, Andreas Mischok, and Markas Sudzius. The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support of this work by the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF), Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (also *via* the excellence cluster CfaED), and the European Community.

Notes and references

- 1 R. Brückner, A. A. Zakhidov, R. Scholz, M. Sudzius, S. I. Hintschich, H. Fröb, V. G. Lyssenko and K. Leo, *Nat. Photonics*, 2012, **6**, 322.
- 2 C. W. Tang and S. A. Van Slyke, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 1987, **51**, 913.
- 3 J. Blochwitz, M. Pfeiffer, T. Fritz and K. Leo, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 1998, **73**, 729.
- 4 M. Pfeiffer, T. Fritz, J. Blochwitz, A. Nollau, B. Plönnigs, A. Beyer and K. Leo, *Adv. Solid State Phys.*, 1998, **39**, 77.
- 5 J. Blochwitz, Organic light-emitting diodes with doped charge transport layers, PhD Thesis, TU Dresden, 2001.

- 6 J. Huang, M. Pfeiffer, A. Werner, J. Blochwitz, K. Leo and S. Liu, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2002, **80**, 139.
- 7 M. Pfeiffer, K. Leo, X. Zhou, J. S. Huang, M. Hofmann, A. Werner and J. Blochwitz-Nimoth, *Org. Electron.*, 2003, **4**, 89.
- 8 W. L. Barnes, A. Dereux and T. W. Ebbesen, *Nature*, 2003, **424**, 824.
- 9 M. Furno, R. Meerheim, S. Hofmann, B. Lüssem and K. Leo, *Phys. Rev. B: Solid State*, 2012, **85**, 115205.
- 10 H. Benisty, H. D. Neve and C. Weisbuch, *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.*, 1998, **34**, 1632.
- 11 J. A. E. Wasey and W. L. Barnes, *J. Mod. Opt.*, 2000, **47**, 725.
- 12 S. Astilean and W. L. Barnes, *Appl. Phys. B: Lasers Opt.*, 2002, **95**, 591.
- 13 Y. Rag Do, Y.-C. Kim, Y.-W. Song and Y.-H. Lee, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2004, **96**, 7629.
- 14 B. C. Krummacher, J. Frischeisen, N. A. Reinke and W. Brütting, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2008, **104**, 123109.
- 15 C. Weisbuch, M. Nishioka, A. Ishikawa and Y. Arakawa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1992, **96**, 3314.
- 16 J. M. Gérard, B. Sermage, B. Gayral, B. Legrand, E. Costard and V. Thierry-Mieg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1998, **81**, 1110.
- 17 E. M. Purcell, *Phys. Rev.*, 1946, **69**, 681.
- 18 P. R. Rice and H. J. Carmichael, *Phys. Rev. A: At., Mol., Opt. Phys.*, 1994, **50**, 4318.
- 19 G. Bjork and Y. Yamamoto, *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.*, 1991, **27**, 2386.
- 20 Y. Yamamoto, S. Machida and G. Bjork, *Opt. Quantum Electron.*, 1992, **24**, S215.
- 21 H. Yokoyama and S. D. Brorson, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 1988, **66**, 4801.
- 22 M. Koschorreck, R. Gehlhaar, V. G. Lyssenko, M. Swoboda, M. Hoffmann and K. Leo, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2005, **87**, 181108.
- 23 C.-Y. Lu, S.-W. Chang, S. L. Chuang, T. D. Germann and D. Bimberg, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2010, **96**, 251101.
- 24 A. V. Kavokin, I. A. Shelykh and G. Malpuech, *Phys. Rev. B: Solid State*, 2005, **72**, 233102.
- 25 M. Kaliteevski, I. Iorsh, S. Brand, R. Abram, J. Chamberlain, A. Kavokin and I. Shelykh, *Phys. Rev. B: Solid State*, 2007, **76**, 165415.
- 26 R. Brückner, M. Sudzius, S. I. Hintsich, H. Fröb, V. G. Lyssenko and K. Leo, *Phys. Rev. B.*, 2011, **83**, 033405.
- 27 R. Brückner, Coherence and Coupling of Cavity Photons and Tamm Plasmons in Metal-Organic Microcavities, PhD Thesis, TU Dresden, 2013.
- 28 M. Langner, M. Sudzius, H. Fröb, V. G. Lyssenko and K. Leo, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2009, **95**, 091109.
- 29 A. Muller, C.-K. Shih, J. Ahn, D. Lu, D. Gazula and D. G. Deppe, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2006, **88**, 031107.
- 30 C. Reinhardt, R. Brückner, J. Haase, M. Sudzius, S. I. Hintsich, H. Fröb, V. G. Lyssenko and K. Leo, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2012, **100**, 103306.
- 31 C. W. Lai, N. Y. Kim, S. Utsunomiya, G. Roumpos, H. Deng, M. D. Fraser, T. Byrnes, P. Recher, N. Kumada, T. Fujisawa and Y. Yamamoto, *Nature*, 2007, **450**, 529.
- 32 E. Wertz, L. Ferrier, D. D. Solnyshkov, R. Johne, D. Sanvitto, A. Lemaître, I. Sagnes, R. Grousson, A. V. Kavokin, P. Senellart, G. Malpuech and J. Bloch, *Nat. Phys.*, 2010, **6**, 860.

- 33 L. Ferrier, E. Wertz, R. Johne, D. D. Solnyshkov, P. Senellart, I. Sagnes, A. Lemaître, G. Malpuech and J. Bloch, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2011, **106**, 126401.
- 34 M. Wouters, T. C. H. Liew and V. Savona, *Phys. Rev. B: Solid State*, 2010, **82**, 245315.
- 35 K. Walzer, B. Maennig, M. Pfeiffer and K. Leo, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 233.
- 36 R. Meerheim, B. Lüssem and K. Leo, *Proc. IEEE*, 2009, **97**, 1606.
- 37 L. Xiao, Z. Chen, B. Qu, J. Luo, S. Kong, Q. Gong and J. Kido, *Adv. Mater.*, 2011, **23**, 926.
- 38 G. He, M. Pfeiffer, K. Leo, M. Hofmann, J. Birnstock, R. Pudzich and J. Salbeck, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2004, **85**, 3911.
- 39 R. Meerheim, M. Furno, S. Hofmann, B. Lüssem and K. Leo, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2010, **97**, 253305.
- 40 T. Shiga, H. Fujikawa and Y. Taga, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2003, **93**, 19.
- 41 P. Andrew and W. L. Barnes, *Science*, 2004, **5**, 1002.
- 42 J. Feng, T. Okamoto and S. Kawata, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2005, **87**, 241109.
- 43 J. M. Lupton, B. J. Matterson, I. D. W. Samuel, M. J. Jory and W. L. Barnes, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2000, **77**, 3340.
- 44 R. Reineke, F. Lindner, G. Schwartz, N. Seidler, K. Walzer, B. Lüssem and K. Leo, *Nature*, 2009, **459**, 234.
- 45 Q. Huang, K. Walzer, M. Pfeiffer, K. Leo, M. Hofmann and T. Stübinger, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2006, **100**, 064507.
- 46 P. A. Hobson, S. Wedge, J. A. E. Wasey, I. Sage and W. L. Barnes, *Adv. Mater.*, 2002, **14**, 1393.
- 47 L. H. Smith, J. A. E. Wasey, I. D. W. Samuel and W. L. Barnes, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2005, **15**, 1839.
- 48 T. Schwab, C. Fuchs, R. Scholz, X. Li, F. Xie, W. Choy, K. Leo and M. C. Gather, *Proc. SPIE*, 2013, 882911.
- 49 T. Schwab, C. Fuchs, R. Scholz, A. Zakhidov, K. Leo and M. C. Gather, *Opt. Express*, 2014, **22**, 7524.
- 50 C. Fuchs, T. Schwab, T. Roch, S. Eckard, A. Lasagni, S. Hofmann, B. Lüssem, L. Müller-Meskamp, K. Leo, M. C. Gather and R. Scholz, *Opt. Express*, 2013, **21**, 16319.